

Date 2000-06-22	ISO/DIS 10303-104
Secretariat ANSI/NIST	ISO/TC 184/SC4/WG12 N552

Report of Voting/Annex B

MEMBER BODY	COMMENTS	OBSERVATIONS OF THE PROJECT
UK	<p>ISSUE NUMBER UK-104-01 AUTHOR : David Leal CLAUSE : 5.5.8 node_geometric_relationship CLASSIFICATION : Technical and editorial PROBLEM DESCRIPTION : It can be convenient to assign a group of nodes to a geometric representation. PROPOSED SOLUTION : Make the attribute node_ref a node_group.</p>	<p>The SELECT TYPE node_or_node_group was introduced that enables assignment of either a node or a group of nodes to a geometric representation.</p>
UK	<p>ISSUE NUMBER UK-104-02 AUTHOR: Ray Goult CLAUSE: 5.5.9 element_geometric_reference and 5.5.18 consistent_geometric_reference CLASSIFICATION: Technical and Editorial PROBLEM DESCRIPTION: The association between FEA constructs and shape representation should permit reference to (edge_curve or curve) and to (face_surface or surface) when appropriate. (See later issues (104-08 and 104-09). The semantics are unclear; we assume, for example, that the association between an edge of an element and a geometric curve (or edge_curve) means that the two are geometrically coincident. Either 104 or 209 should provide further explanatory text. The documentation of the function should explain the precise requirements being checked - at present these can only be deduced by reading the EXPRESS. Why is polyloop included as a special case of 'pseudo curve' ? PROPOSED SOLUTION: Re-write function consistent_geometric_reference and improve the description.</p>	<p>The FUNCTION consistent_geometric_-reference was rewritten to allow references to edge_curve and face_surface. Reference to poly_loop was deleted. The function description was expanded.</p>

Date 2000-06-22	ISO/DIS 10303-104
Secretariat ANSI/NIST	ISO/TC 184/SC4/WG12 N552

MEMBER BODY	COMMENTS	OBSERVATIONS OF THE PROJECT
UK	<p>ISSUE NUMBER UK-104-03 AUTHOR : David Leal CLAUSE : 5.6.5 dummy_node and 5.8 element topologies CLASSIFICATION : Editorial PROBLEM DESCRIPTION : The term ‘missing node’ which occurs on pages 56 and 102 is misleading, because the node is not ‘missing’ but instead it is not part of the element formulation. PROPOSED SOLUTION: The text on page 58 should read: A dummy_node is a placeholder in the node_list for an element_representation, that is used where an element_representation does not have a mid_edge, mid-face or mid-volume node shown in the node sequence diagrams in Figures 10 to 39.</p> <p>EXAMPLE - The node sequence diagram for a quadratic quadrilateral in Figure 20, shows a ninth mid-face node. The node_list for an element_representation that is an eight noded serendipity element has a dummy_node in the ninth position.</p> <p>NOTE - An instantiation of an FEA model has only one instance of dummy_node.</p> <p>The note on page 102 should read:</p> <p>NOTE - A dummy_node is referenced as a placeholder if an element formulation does not have a mid-edge, mid-face or mid-volume node shown in the node sequence diagrams in Figures 10 to 39.</p>	The description and references to dummy_node were revised as proposed.

Date 2000-06-22	ISO/DIS 10303-104
Secretariat ANSI/NIST	ISO/TC 184/SC4/WG12 N552

MEMBER BODY	COMMENTS	OBSERVATIONS OF THE PROJECT
UK	ISSUE NUMBER UK-104-04 AUTHOR : David Leal CLAUSE : 5.11.5 surface_section_element_location CLASSIFICATION : Technical and editorial PROBLEM DESCRIPTION : Not all surface sections have material discontinuities. PROPOSED SOLUTION: Make the attribute above_material_discontinuity OPTIONAL.	The attribute above_-material_discontinuity is of LOGICAL type, which should be assigned .UNKNOWN. when there is no discontinuity. The attribute description was revised to this effect.
UK	ISSUE NUMBER UK-104-05 AUTHOR : David Leal CLAUSE : 5.12.5 fea_shell_membrane_elasticity CLASSIFICATION : Editorial PROBLEM DESCRIPTION : In clauses 7.4 and 5.13.1, elasticity is 'd' as a fourth order tensor and 'D' as a 6'6 matrix,. Here (and in the immediately following clauses) it is 'A'. PROPOSED SOLUTION: Add a notation section to clause 3, and stick to it.	The notation was revised for consistency throughout the document.
UK	ISSUE NUMBER UK-104-06 AUTHOR : David Leal CLAUSE : 5.13.6 curve_element_section_derived_definitions CLASSIFICATION : Editorial PROBLEM DESCRIPTION : In some places 'L' is used for position along a curve and in other places 'x'. 't' is used for an applied moment - this is potentially confusing. PROPOSED SOLUTION : Tidy up notation, and define it in clause 3.	The notation was revised for consistency throughout the document.

Date 2000-06-22	ISO/DIS 10303-104
Secretariat ANSI/NIST	ISO/TC 184/SC4/WG12 N552

MEMBER BODY	COMMENTS	OBSERVATIONS OF THE PROJECT
UK	<p>ISSUE NUMBER UK-104-07 AUTHOR : David Leal CLAUSE : 5.13.6 curve_vector_2d_variable CLASSIFICATION : Editorial PROBLEM DESCRIPTION : The definition of curve_element_curvature is inconsistent with curve_thermal_gradient and curve_bending_moment (I think - please check this). PROPOSED SOLUTION: A better definition of curvature is (in LaTeX): $\{\bf \chi} = \left(\begin{array}{c} -\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \parallel \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} \end{array} \right)$</p>	Curvature definitions were revised for consistency throughout the document. Figure 55 was added to illustrate the sign convention on bending moments.
UK	<p>ISSUE NUMBER UK-104-08 AUTHOR: Ray Goult CLAUSE: 6.4.17 curve_constraint CLASSIFICATION: Technical PROBLEM DESCRIPTION: The restriction in WR1 of this entity is too specific. It requires the item being referenced to be a curve. In geometric models the curve is frequently un-bounded and an edge_curve is more likely to exactly represent the geometry to which the constraint is applied. There may well be instances where 2 edge_curves (defining different bounded portions) reference the same curve instance as edge_curve.edge_geometry. PROPOSED SOLUTION: Re-write WR1 to permit required_curve.item to be either an edge_curve or a curve.</p>	WR1 was revised per proposed solution.

Date 2000-06-22	ISO/DIS 10303-104
Secretariat ANSI/NIST	ISO/TC 184/SC4/WG12 N552

MEMBER BODY	COMMENTS	OBSERVATIONS OF THE PROJECT
UK	<p>ISSUE NUMBER UK-104-09 AUTHOR: Ray Gault CLAUSE: 6.4.18 surface_constraint CLASSIFICATION: Technical PROBLEM DESCRIPTION: The restriction in WR1 of this entity is too specific. It requires the item being referenced to be a surface. In geometric models the surface is frequently un-bounded and a face_surface is more likely to exactly represent the geometry to which the constraint is applied. There may well be instances where 2 face_surfaces (defining different bounded portions) reference the same surface instance as face_surface.face_geometry. PROPOSED SOLUTION: Re-write WR1 to permit required_surface.item to be either a face_surface or a surface.</p>	WR1 was revised per proposed solution.
UK	<p>ISSUE NUMBER UK-104-10 AUTHOR : David Leal CLAUSE : 6.6.8 state_relationship CLASSIFICATION : Editorial PROBLEM DESCRIPTION : The definition of state_relationship in ISO/DIS 10303-104 does not make it clear that a state can be built up from parts for data management convenience, where the division into parts concerned may not have any engineering significance. PROPOSED SOLUTION : A state_relationship is an association between two states (related and relating), that indicates all the information about the related state is also about the relating state. A related state is an aspect of a relating state.</p> <p>NOTE - A state_relationship enables different aspects of a state such as boundary conditions, external loads, and thermal loads to be managed as separate 'states'. An initial_state for a control_analysis_step can be defined as a combination of different aspects. The partitioning of a state into aspects is solely for information management convenience, and has no engineering significance.</p>	The definition was revised per the proposed solution.

Date 2000-06-22	ISO/DIS 10303-104
Secretariat ANSI/NIST	ISO/TC 184/SC4/WG12 N552

MEMBER BODY	COMMENTS	OBSERVATIONS OF THE PROJECT
UK	ISSUE NUMBER UK-104-11 AUTHOR : David Leal CLAUSE 6.7.95 surface_3d_node_field_section_variable_values CLASSIFICATION : Editorial PROBLEM DESCRIPTION : A variable evaluated at points within the section of a surface is a volume_variable, and not a surface_element_variable. PROPOSED SOLUTION: Change the attribute variable to reference volume_variable.	No change is necessary as surface_element_variable is a SELECT TYPE that includes volume_variable.
UK	ISSUE NUMBER UK-104-12 AUTHOR: Ray Goult CLAUSE: 2 Normative references PROBLEM DESCRIPTION: Where available the 2nd edition of resource parts should be referenced. In particular referencing part 42 DIS would avoid duplication of cylindrical_point and spherical_point entity definitions.	The cylindrical_point and spherical_point entity definitions were removed. These entities are now referenced from Part 42 TC3 EXPRESS (WG12 N546)
UK	ISSUE NUMBER UK-104-13 AUTHOR : Derek Pashley CLAUSE : CLASSIFICATION : Technical and editorial PROBLEM DESCRIPTION : ERROR OF OMISSION. Although Part 104 allows for axisymmetric models to have Fourier loading and response (as displacements from a quasi-static and mode shapes from a frequency analysis), it does NOT allow for "finite Fourier analysis" (ref Irons and Ahmad, Techniques of Finite Elements, Ellis Horwood, 1980) on cyclically symmetric structures (also know as sectorially symmetric). PROPOSED SOLUTION : Include cyclic symmetry in the next release of 104 (and 209). It is believed that other common forms of symmetry are also missing and should be included in later releases. NB it would be convenient, but not imperative, to permit complex numbers as it is common for the mode shapes derived from such analyses to be expressed in this form; the alternative is "double real", where each degree of freedom has a phase and quadrature component.	Agreed for next edition work..

Date 2000-06-22	ISO/DIS 10303-104
Secretariat ANSI/NIST	ISO/TC 184/SC4/WG12 N552

MEMBER BODY	COMMENTS	OBSERVATIONS OF THE PROJECT
USA	ISSUE NUMBER: USA, 104DIS, 01 AUTHOR: USA CLAUSE: 5.5.5 CLASSIFICATION : Minor Technical PROBLEM DESCRIPTION: ISO 10303-104 has almost the same spherical coordinate as ISO the second edition of 10303-42. PROPOSED SOLUTION: (optional) As a minimum, 104 should coordinate with Project Leader for the Second Edition of 104 so that the spherical coordinate is the same entity.	The cylindrical_point and spherical_point entity definitions were removed. These entities are now referenced from Part 42 E1 TC3 EXPRESS (WG12 N546)
USA	ISSUE NUMBER: USA, 104DIS, 02 AUTHOR: USA CLAUSE: 2 CLASSIFICATION : Minor Technical PROBLEM DESCRIPTION: ISO 10303-104 references 10303-41:1994 that has been replaced with ISO/DIS 10303-41:1999. PROPOSED SOLUTION: (optional) Document should evaluate usage of the 2nd edition of ISO 10303-41. ISO 10303-41 may be replaced prior to this document being an IS.	Due the large number of complications in using the 2nd edition documents, their use was deferred to the second edition work for Part 104.
USA	ISSUE NUMBER: USA, 104DIS, 03 AUTHOR: USA CLAUSE: 2 CLASSIFICATION : Minor Technical PROBLEM DESCRIPTION: ISO 10303-104 references 10303-43:1994 that has been replaced with ISO/DIS 10303-43:1999. PROPOSED SOLUTION: (optional) Document should evaluate usage of the 2nd edition of ISO 10303-43. ISO 10303-43 may be replaced prior to this document being an IS.	Due the large number of complications in using the 2nd edition documents, their use was deferred to the second edition work for Part 104.
USA	ISSUE NUMBER: USA, 104DIS, 04 AUTHOR: USA CLAUSE: 2 CLASSIFICATION : Minor Editorial PROBLEM DESCRIPTION: Throughout the document Table and Figure titles should be 14 point font/. PROPOSED SOLUTION: (optional)	The document was redone using the latest LATEX style files.

Date 2000-06-22	ISO/DIS 10303-104
Secretariat ANSI/NIST	ISO/TC 184/SC4/WG12 N552